



The Caleb Report

January-February 2016

Life Decisions International

vol. xxv ■ no. 1

“HE IS NOT ONE OF US,” PRO-LIFE LEADERS WARN Election 2016 Proving More Stressful Than Expected

“He may not know it, but God is using him,” said one pro-life voter to NBC *Nightly News* several months before the Iowa caucuses. Was she referring to Senator Ted Cruz, R-Tex.? Was she talking about former governor Mike Huckabee, R-Ark.? How about the outspoken Dr. Ben Carson, R-Fla.? Maybe one of the other fine candidates seeking the Republican Party nomination for President? No, this self-described evangelical voter was talking about her great passion for business tycoon and television personality Donald J. Trump.



Trump

A pro-life advocate backing Donald Trump? Is that even possible? Apparently so. The unconventional candidate has worked hard to capture the evangelical voting bloc, which he knows includes some of the party’s most dedicated activists. He understands how important it is to take as much support as possible from pro-life candidates. If Trump were to draw enough pro-life support, he could win the nomination.

What is Trump’s latest position on abortion? He addressed the subject about a week before the Iowa caucuses in a piece titled, “My Vision for A Culture of Life”:

Let me be clear — I am pro-life. I support that position with exceptions allowed for rape, incest or the life of the mother being at risk. I did not always hold this position, but I had a significant personal experience that brought the precious gift of life into perspective for me. My story is well documented, so I will not retell it here. However, what I will do with the remaining space is express my feelings about life, and the culture of life, as we just marked the 43rd anniversary of *Roe v. Wade*.

I build things. There is a process involved in building things. We tap into a lot of disciplines with engineering being one of the most important. The rules for putting structures together are as strict as are the rules of physics. These rules have stood the test of time and have become the path to putting together structures that endure and are beautiful. America, when it is at its best, follows a set of rules that have worked since our Founding. One of those rules is that we, as Americans, revere life and have done so since our Founders made it the first, and most important, of our “unalienable” rights.

Over time, our culture of life in this country has started sliding toward a culture of death. Perhaps the most significant piece of evidence to support this assertion is that since *Roe v. Wade* was

decided by the Supreme Court 43 years ago, over 50 million Americans never had the chance to enjoy the opportunities offered by this country. They never had the chance to become doctors, musicians, farmers, teachers, husbands, fathers, sons or daughters. They never had the chance to enrich the culture of this nation or to bring their skills, lives, loves or passions into the fabric of this country. They are missing, and they are missed.

The Supreme Court in 1973 based its decision on imagining rights and liberties in the Constitution that are nowhere to be found. Even if we take the court at its word, that abortion is a matter of privacy, we should then extend the argument to the logical conclusion that private funds, then, should subsidize this choice rather than the half billion dollars given to abortion providers every year by Congress. Public funding of abortion providers is an insult to people of conscience at the least and an affront to good governance at best.

If using taxpayer money to facilitate our slide to a culture of death were not enough, the 1973 decision became a landmark decision demonstrating the utter contempt the court had for federalism and the 10th Amendment. *Roe v. Wade* gave the court an excuse to dismantle the decisions of state legislatures and the votes of the people. This is a pattern that the court has repeated over and over again since that decision. *Roe v. Wade* became yet another incidence of disconnect between the people and their government.

We are in the middle of a presidential political cycle and votes will be cast in just days. The citizens of this nation will have the chance to vote for candidates who are aligned with their individual world-views. It is my hope that they will choose the builder, the man who has the ability to imagine the greatness of this nation. The next president must follow those principles that work best and that reinforce the reverence Americans hold for life. A culture of life is too important to let slip away for convenience or political correctness. It is by preserving our culture of life that we will Make America Great Again.

It is not known who actually authored the statement, which was published by the *Washington Examiner*.



Schlafly

Many pro-life activists have been willing to take a second look at Trump because he has been endorsed by some of their favorite public figures, including Eagle Forum founder and anti-feminist icon Phyllis Schlafly who said Trump is the only candidate with “fight in him.” She said Trump “will fight for the issues that we really care about and are very hot at the present time, such as the immigration issue. I don’t see anyone else who’s eager to fight,” she told WND.

Jerry L. Falwell Jr., president of Liberty University, endorsed Trump just days before the Iowa caucuses. “In my opinion, Donald Trump lives a life of loving and helping others as Jesus taught in the great commandment. He cannot be bought, he’s not a puppet on a string like many other candidates... who have wealthy donors as their puppet masters.” (Remember when voters viewed wealth a negative? Just ask Steve Forbes.) When the Trump visited Liberty University’s campus, Falwell boldly compared the candidate to his late father.

Another influential evangelical leader, Rev. Franklin Graham, said President Barack Obama is touting his nuclear deal with Iran as “another chapter in the pursuit of a more helpful and more hopeful world.” Franklin strongly disagreed:

Iran has a history of funding terrorism around the world, and they are Israel’s worst enemy. We are alienating our decades-long allies and cozying up to their enemies and ours. And Donald J. Trump was exactly right when he said, “we have four prisoners over there. We should have said ‘Let the prisoners out.’” One of these prisoners is our friend Pastor Saeed Abedini, and I haven’t heard the names of any of these four U.S. citizens mentioned in the negotiations with Iran. Some are calling this deal a potential death sentence for the State of Israel. Pray for our Congress that God would give them wisdom as they debate this important agreement. There’s a lot at stake here.

NBC’s *Saturday Night* was handed an excuse to bring back Tina Fey’s highly popular impression of former governor and candidate for vice president Sarah Palin, R-Alaska, after she endorsed Trump.



Tina Fey as “Sarah Palin” and Darrell Hammond as “Donald Trump” on *SNL*.

“The status quo has got to go; otherwise we’re just going to get more of the same,” Palin told a crowd of “Trumpeters” in Iowa. “And with their failed agenda, it can’t be salvaged. It must be salvaged. And Donald Trump is the right one to do that.” (What did she say?) Trump “builds things, he builds big things. Things that touch the sky. Big infrastructure that puts other people to work,” Palin continued. “He has spent his life looking up and respecting the hard hats and the steel-toed boots and the work ethic that you all have within you.”

“This self-made success of his,” Palin said, “you know that he doesn’t get his power, his high, off of opium — other people’s money — like a lot of dopes in Washington do. They’re addicted to opium, where they take other people’s money and then their high is getting to redistribute it, right?” While commenters and comedians panned Palin’s endorsement speech, it could persuade more tea

party and evangelical voters to join the Trump camp — and feel comfortable doing so. (With regard to the “self-made success comment,” Trump has publicly stated he began with a “small loan” from his father. A “small loan” of \$1 million.)

Conservative pundit and author Ann Coulter announced her support for Trump on *The Eric Metaxas Show*. “What is the point of talking about... anything else unless you get Donald Trump in to build the wall, deport illegals, end this ‘anchor baby’ nonsense, stop importing 100,000 Muslims a year in addition to two million Third Worlders per year,” Coulter said. “It’s madness what this country has been doing.”

Trump is supported by several celebrities (or in some cases, “celebrities”). The list includes NFL quarterback Tom Brady of “Deflategate” (oh, and winner of four Super Bowls, in three of which he was designated Most Valuable Player), rapper Azaelia Banks, pal of North Korean dictator Kim Jong-un and former professional basketball player Dennis Rodman, actor Gary Busey (recently on *Dancing with the Stars*), and Zoltan Bathory, guitarist for the heavy metal band The Five Finger Death Punch.



Trump supporter Gene Simmons as “The Demon” (l) and in an ad for Planned Parenthood (r).

The names of two Trump supporters have surprised many. One is the pro-abortion former governor of Minnesota, Jesse Ventura. But the most shocking is Chaim Witz (publicly known as Gene Simmons), a former member of heavy metal bands Kiss and Wicked Lester. Simmons used the stage persona “The Demon” when appearing with Kiss, is also called “God of Thunder” and refers to himself as “Rock God.” In a sexual relationship with “soft porn” movie performer and *Playboy* model Shannon Tweed since 1983, they wed in 2011. The pair costarred in the “reality” show *Gene Simmons Family Jewels* from 2006-2012, which was carried by the A&E Network (a subsidiary of boycott target The Hearst Corporation). Most concerning, Simmons has appeared in commercials promoting Planned Parenthood.

There has been one common thread in each statement released by Trump’s supporters. They are void of any mention of life issues. Everyone has personal reasons for endorsing Trump and not one of them has proclaimed his new position on the right to life as the principal motivation for backing him. Once again, the killing of preborn human beings in the womb takes a backseat to other issues. While nearly all of the other issues are important, how does each stack up against the killing of the innocent?

Conservatives are not exactly running to line up behind Trump. Many have issued strong — in some cases *very* strong — statements against him. And most of these statements *do* mention the right to life. Many evangelicals have expressed dismay at the endorsements Trump has received from their brothers and sisters in Christian leadership.

John Stemberger, president of the Florida Family Policy Council, told *Politico* that Trump is “the most immoral and ungodly man to ever run for President of the United States.” (There is nothing unclear about that statement, although there could be a few close runner-ups.)

Conservative television and radio program host Dana Loesch was quick to talk about life:

Why is there a double standard when it comes to evaluating Donald Trump? Why are other politicians excoriated when they change their minds — as, for example, Rick Perry did on the question of whether HPV vaccinations in Texas should be compulsory—but when Trump suddenly says he’s pro-life, the claim is accepted uncritically? Why is it unconscionable for Ted Cruz to take and repay a loan from Goldman Sachs to help win a tough Senate race but acceptable for Donald Trump to take money from George Soros? Why is vetting Trump, as we do any other candidate, considered “bashing”? Aren’t these fair questions?

Erick Erickson, a conservative blogger and host of *Atlanta’s Evening News with Erick Erickson*, mentioned abortion in his statement against Trump:

In a *60 Minutes* interview with Scott Pelley, Trump aggressively supported universal health care, saying, “This is an un-Republican thing for me to say...I’m going to take care of everybody...The government’s going to pay for it.” He supported the prosecution of hate crimes. He favored wealth-confiscation policies. He supported abortion rights. On all these things, Donald Trump now says he has changed his mind. Like the angels in heaven who rejoice for every new believer, we should rejoice for Donald Trump’s conversion to conservatism. But we should not put a new conservative in charge of conservatism or the country, so that he does not become puffed up with conceit and fall into condemnation. Republicans have wandered in the wilderness already by letting leaders define conservatism in their own image. Donald Trump needs more time and more testing of his new conservative convictions.



Moore

Russell D. Moore, president of the Southern Baptist Ethics & Religious Liberty Commission, said that nearly seven years ago, an organization called the Manhattan Declaration reaffirmed the three key goals of religious conservatives: to protect all human life (including that of preborn children), to reinforce the sanctity of marriage and the family, and to safeguard the religious freedom of all. Moore said a Trump administration would pose a serious threat to all three goals. He followed with unadulterated criticism of Donald Trump:

Yes, Trump says that he is pro-life now, despite having supported partial-birth abortion in the past. The problem is not whether he

can check a box. Pro-life voters expect leaders to have a coherent vision of human dignity and to be able to defend against assaults on human life in the future—some of which may be unimaginable today and will present themselves only as new technologies develop.

Trump’s supposed pro-life conversion is rooted in Nietzschean, social-Darwinist terms. He knew a child who was to be aborted who grew up to be a “superstar.” Beyond that, Trump’s vitriolic—and often racist and sexist—language about immigrants, women, the disabled, and others ought to concern anyone who believes that all persons, not just the “winners” of the moment, are created in God’s image.

Many pro-life leaders have spoken out against Trump. Ten pro-life women sent a letter to selected voters in Iowa to be sure they knew the truth about Trump’s position on life issues:

As pro-life women leaders from Iowa and across the nation, we urge Republican caucus-goers and voters to support anyone but Donald Trump. On the issue of defending unborn children and protecting women from the violence of abortion, Mr. Trump cannot be trusted and there is, thankfully, an abundance of alternative candidates with proven records of pro-life leadership whom pro-life voters can support. We have come to this conclusion after having listened patiently to numerous debates and news reports, but most importantly to Donald Trump’s own words.

The next president will be responsible for as many as four nominations to the Supreme Court. Mr. Trump has given us only one indication about the type of judges he would appoint, and it does not bode well for those who would like to see the court overturn *Roe v. Wade*. Mr. Trump has said his sister, Judge Maryanne Trump Barry, who struck down the Partial Birth Abortion Ban in New Jersey, would be a “phenomenal” choice for the court. Earlier this month, Mr. Trump also said he thought pro-choice Senator Scott Brown would make a “very good” Vice President. If one truly believes, as we do, that abortion is the taking of an innocent human life and is committed to the pro-life priorities of ending abortion after five months, and defunding the nation’s largest abortion business, Planned Parenthood, it would be a disaster to have a vice president who disagrees.

Moreover, as women, we are disgusted by Mr. Trump’s treatment of individuals, women, in particular. He has impugned the dignity of women, most notably Megyn Kelly, he mocked and bullied Carly Fiorina, and has through the years made disparaging public comments to and about many women. Further, Mr. Trump has profited from the exploitation of women in his Atlantic City casino hotel which boasted of the first strip club casino in the country.

America will only be a great nation when we have leaders of strong character who will defend both unborn children and the dignity of women. We cannot trust Donald Trump to do either. Therefore, we urge our fellow citizens to support an alternative candidate.

Signers of the letter included Jenifer Bowen, executive director for Iowa Right to Life, Beverly LaHaye, chairman of Concerned Women for America, and Star Parker, president of Urbancure.

LDI issued a statement from President Douglas R. Scott, Jr., several days before the Iowa caucuses:

Presidential candidate Donald Trump has been courting pro-life voters and his positions on key issues have apparently “evolved” over the past months. But anyone who thinks Mr. Trump is one of us and is passionate about our cause is flatly mistaken. As a pro-lifer, he is currently a “house built upon sand.” He will likely cave as soon as the pro-abortion machine begins to put on the pressure.

Is claiming a radical conversion on abortion the only standard to which we hold candidates? Planned Parenthood and its legions would never support a candidate who went from strongly pro-life to pro-abortion. They would applaud the change of heart but demand to see concrete action over a long period of time to prove sincerity. But pro-life Americans seem willing to jump on the bandwagon of a “television star,” putting aside all wisdom and critical thinking about what is truly important.

I want to suggest, in the most respectful but urgent terms, that people who care about the right to life and back Mr. Trump for president are either woefully ignorant of the facts or very, very easy to please. And if there is anything we should not be it is ignorant and easy to please.

Pro-life voters need to start holding candidates to a higher standard. Even if we take Mr. Trump’s conversion at face value, we are talking about a man who has no political record. Moreover, it is not just important that the candidate be pro-life; it comes down to a question of just how important our issues rank with the candidate. Just how strong is the stated conviction? We have no reason to believe the right to life is a critically important issue to Mr. Trump. All we have is his word. But with the other candidates, we have a clear record of exactly what the issue means to them.

I have seen many news reports during which Mr. Trump is asked if he is pro-life. He routinely responds by saying, “As you know, I am pro-life.” The statement is never challenged, which gives Trump instant, albeit undeserved, credibility. Actually, Mr. Trump, *no one* knew you were pro-life. In fact, there is considerable evidence to the contrary. And when the words do not match the evidence, it is time for great caution.

The most egregious example is Trump’s association with the Better World Society (BWS)—an elitist group founded by media mogul R. E. “Ted” Turner. The Society’s first project was the production of the population control propaganda film *Increase and Multiply*. BWS credited several groups for assisting in making the film, including Planned Parenthood of America (PPFA), International Planned Parenthood Federation, Center for Population Options and Zero Population Growth. Its board of directors included Zhou Boping of the China Family Planning Association. BWS strongly advocated more taxpayer spending on population control worldwide. BWS honored several people for their work on the “population problem,” including ex-PPFA boss Faye Wattleton.

Turner spoke at the Society’s introductory ceremony where he declared the Ten Commandments are dead, outdated and not relevant to today’s global problems, such as overpopulation. “I bet no one here even pays much attention to ’em because they are too old,” he said. As a contemporary replacement, Turner offered Ten Initiatives. The first mentioned was, “I promise to have no more than two children or no more than my nation [national government] suggests.” Donald Trump was among the top BWS donors. Scripture says, “For where your treasure is, there your heart will be also.” How much money has he donated to pregnancy help centers since the conversion started? I am looking for a hint of evidence to back the rhetoric, but there is none.

One Point of View

Voting for Barabbas

by David H. Sudlow

A vote for Barabbas will destroy America.

Senator Ted Cruz is the only candidate capable of reversing the anti-Christian agenda put in place by President Obama. There is no question that Cruz is a righteous man who fears the Lord Jesus Christ. There are other candidates who fit this category and who are also principled conservatives, but they are not as good as Cruz on the issues and his proven record. The Republican leadership doesn’t like him because he is so true to his Christian faith and his unquestionable stand for the U.S. Constitution. Yet this is exactly what it will take to raise a standard against the evil that has come in like a flood.

Any Christian voting for Donald Trump is making a “Barabbas” choice. Trump is not a sincere Christian and is a great deceiver who is more of a Democrat than a Republican. He is liberal on 90 percent of the issues and is not one of us. Those who vote for Trump are choosing a man who is a prideful man of the flesh and a shrewd businessman who knows how to wheel and deal no matter how unethical. Many are fooled to think he is the best chance of winning against the Democrats. But the truth is that until he set his eyes on running for President, he was openly supportive of abortion and the homosexual agenda. Trump is on his third wife and is a womanizer. Money and power are his god and he is a wolf in sheep’s clothing. He has never asked God for forgiveness for anything in his life. How can anyone who lives like that be on the side of the Lord?

Remember the recent past. If Trump wins the Republican nomination to run against Hilary Clinton, Bernie Sanders or some other Democrat, there is no question I will reluctantly vote for the least of the evil. It is important we vote for the least evil or we get the worst. Unfortunately, many Christians disagree with voting for the least evil and that is why we got Obama in 2008 and 2012. Millions of Christians did not vote in those elections and their *no* vote resulted in a far greater evil in the White House. If Democrats win the general election against Ted Cruz, we will see the ultimate Barabbas scenario play out. The same verdict of the people that released the original Barabbas instead of Jesus.

Like the Church, the Republican Party is a house divided. The huge number of candidates is a sign of how much we are divided. Is it too late? Absolutely not. Man’s importunity is God’s greatest opportunity. There are many Christians praying for America to turn back to God and that our next President will stand unashamed for Christ. When will God reach a limit of not being mocked any longer? If America chooses a Barabbas we will see greater judgment quickly. Of course there is the possibility that the Lord makes a bad leader into a Nebuchadnezzar who turns to God, but in history the Lord usually used one of His own to deliver His people from destruction.

continues on next page

One Point of View . . .

It is time for us to enter more fully into II Chronicles 7:14 than ever before and to get behind Ted Cruz. The spiritual battle is going to get severe but the battle is the Lord's. Until Christ returns we are called to stand for God's standards of truth and morality, which means we need to vote. As for me and my house, we will stand on the Lord's side with the candidate who speaks the boldest for Him.

Ted Cruz is my choice for President.

David Howard Sudlow was raised in a conservative Christian home, yet was a prodigal son for most of his teenage years. Just before his 21st birthday, David found himself in the middle of a "Damascus Road" experience, which brought him to the true reality of life through the power Jesus Christ.

David has worked as a layman in 1a number of Christian ministries and traveled in 25 countries. In 1994, Christians for Israel International asked him to start the ministry Christians for Israel in the USA and Canada. David served as its executive director and publication editor for six years.

David believes he has a timely message for today, believing the Church needs to awaken from a Laodicea condition and recognize the prophetic signs of Christ's Second Coming. As a lay-evangelist, he challenges Christians to have faith in the "blessed hope" and to live in the expectancy of the imminent return of Jesus.

David resides in Strasburg, Virg., with his wife, Nita, and their ten children.

LDI often provides space for pro-life activists to give their point of view on any topic relating to the Pro-Life Movement. You have just read One Point of View. What's yours?

I don't claim to have the gift of prophesy, but I can say with great certainty and confidence that every pro-life American who backs Mr. Trump will eventually come to regret it. He is a "rookie pro-lifer" at best. Trump should be red-shirted and benched until we all know he is fully ready to play and we can back him with great confidence. In the meantime, we will look to those men and women who have long and strong records of working to advance our agenda. There are good candidates to consider, including Carly Fiorina, Ted Cruz and Marco Rubio, among others. It is not the time to say, "Thanks for all you have done on behalf of preborn children for so many years, but I'm going to go with this unknown."

What we do now will greatly impact what we can accomplish in the future. Let's take a second look and think this through.

Scott later said he would not have thought it possible the GOP might nominate a candidate who polls with more negatives than Hillary Clinton.

IS THIS THE BEGINNING OF THE END?

Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) has released its 2014-2015 annual report. "Are we witnessing the beginning the end of Planned Parenthood?" asked Douglas R. Scott, Jr., president of LDI. "It is surely too early to say with any degree of confidence, but every analytical measure indicates the end may be approaching far more rapidly than even the most optimistic pro-life activist would have predicted."

"Planned Parenthood operates the most proficient killing machine in the country," Scott said. "And it continues to take the lives of preborn children while concurrently

wreaking havoc on all members of society." PPFA has confessed it hired killers snuff out 323,999 human beings in 2014 alone, generating an estimated \$150 million. Only 2,024 customers were referred to adoption agencies.

TABLE 1: "SERVICES" AT A GLANCE¹
PPFA 2011-2014

"SERVICE" ²	2011	2012	2013	2014
Abortion ³	333,964	327,166	327,653	323,999
Adoption Referrals	2,300	2,197	1,880	2,024
Birth Control (reversible)	2,006,691	2,129,855	2,131,865	2,009,307
Breast Exams/Care	639,384	549,804	487,029	363,803
Colposcopy ⁴	39,763	37,683	32,334	20,736
Cryotherapy ⁵	1,084	920	684	446
"Emergency" Birth Control	1,425,746	1,590,133	1,440,495	931,589
Family Practice ⁶	55,329	41,359	65,464	33,060
Genital Warts (HPV) Care	49,058	42,933	38,612	32,233
HIV Testing ⁸	680,807	697,680	704,079	651,695
HPV Vaccinations	38,892	38,535	34,739	24,063
LOOP/LEEP ¹⁰	2,469	2,273	2,095	1,621
Pap Tests	585,978	492,365	378,692	271,539
Pregnancy Tests	1,450,589	1,148,249	1,128,783	1,172,989
Prenatal	28,674	19,506	18,684	17,419
STD Tests/Care	3,744,949	3,728,111	3,727,359	3,533,522
Sterilization (female)	801	821	822	718
Urinary Tract Infection Care	47,812	52,947	47,264	55,912
Vasectomy	3,575	3,749	4,166	3,445
Other Procedures/Care	26,794	27,389	17,734	5,462

¹ PPFA affiliates routinely revise the numbers reported to the national office after the annual report is published. The most up-to-date figures are used herein. ² "Services" are reported on a calendar year basis. ³ An unreported number of females were referred to abortionists outside of Planned Parenthood. ⁴ An examination that aids in the discovery of abnormal growth cells in the cervix. ⁵ A treatment for abnormal growths. ⁶ Affiliates offer general health care services to varying degrees. ⁷ This category does not appear in the report. ⁸ In past reports statistics regarding this procedure were broken down by gender.

The only area in which PPFA may find solace is the wallet. For seven years its budget has exceeded \$1 billion. Income for 2014-2015 was nearly \$1.3 billion, down very slightly from 2013-2014. These figures are inherently disconcerting. There are two critical areas upon which PPFA financial health is dependent — government and general gifts (individuals, corporations, private foundations, etc.).

In 2014-2015, PPFA took in a record \$553.7 million from taxpayers, a staggering \$25 million more than in the previous fiscal year. This is definitely a positive for PPFA, but this source has a weak base since it is dependent upon election results and other unpredictable factors. Reliance on taxpayer dollars is especially unwise given the unified attacks launched against such funding by pro-life groups.

General gifts are the most critical because they measure support from those who clearly back PPFA's agenda. For 2014-2015 such gifts came to \$353.5 million. This is a huge amount to say the least, but there is a problem. The figure is \$42.4 million less than it was in 2013-2014. More

SOURCE	2011-12	%	2012-13	%	2013-14	%	2014-15	%
Taxpayers	\$542.4	45	\$540.6	45	\$528.4	41	\$553.7	43
Donations	307.5	26	315.4	26	391.8	30	353.5	27
"Clinics"	311.5	26	305.0	25	305.3	23	309.2	24
Other	37.7	3	49.4	4	77.9	6	79.7	6
TOTAL	\$1,199.1		\$1,210.4		\$1,303.4		\$1,296.1	

¹ Figures are in millions of dollars.

06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15
\$114.8	\$85.0	\$63.4	\$18.5	\$155.5	\$87.4	\$58.2	\$127.1	\$58.8

¹ Figures are in millions of dollars. ² Total for 2006-2015 is \$768.7 million.

“Planned Parenthood’s greatest ally is public ignorance of its agenda and activities,” Scott said. “Pro-life activists at all levels are beginning to see the fruits of their labor. They have cause for joy and optimism. Planned Parenthood and its legions have learned something important about pro-life people. We do not give up. *We never give up.*”

REMEMBERING: February is the month in which the Pro-Life Movement lost two remarkable leaders. LDI’s founding chairman, **Michael Schwartz**, passed away in 2013 as a result of complications associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), often referred to as Lou Gehrig’s disease. Schwartz, whose last job was chief of staff to then-U.S. Senator Tom Coburn, R-Okla., was universally recognized as having one of the most brilliant minds in the Pro-Life Movement.

Just last year, the Pro-Life Movement lost **John C. “Jack” Willke, M.D.**, who had served as president of the National Right to Life Committee Inc., and the International Right to Life Committee. Author of the *Handbook on Abortion*, Willke surely had the most recognized face and name in the Pro-Life Movement. Both men are greatly missed.

THE PRAYER PROJECT

Please join us in *daily prayer* for people active in the Pro-Abortion Movement and those who have been weak in their claimed pro-life convictions (subject to change; updates at www.fightpp.org).

January: Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) President **Cecile Richards**; *February:* **Alyce Faye Wattleton**, the longest-serving (1978-1992) and most successful PPFA leader, who continues to speak out for abortion; *March:* Republican politicians including former Florida governor **John Ellis “Jeb” Bush**, Governor **Christopher James Christie** of New Jersey, and Ohio governor **John Richard Kasich**, who have publicly accused other candidates of being “too pro-life” (impossible); *April:* presidential candidate **Donald John Trump, Sr.**, R-N.Y., who claims he has moved from rabidly pro-abortion to pro-life, but whose position is very weak — at best; and *May:* former First Lady, U.S. Secretary of State, U.S. Senator, and 2016 presidential candidate **Hillary Diane Rodham Clinton**, D-N.Y. (sort of), who is as pro-abortion as one can get. She is a recipient of PPFA’s highest “honor,” the Margaret Sanger Award, which is named for the group’s racist and pro-eugenics founder.

The Caleb Report, an official periodical of Life Decisions International (LDI), is published six times per year. Opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of every LDI Partner or members of its Board of Directors/Advisors or staff. This publication may be copied so long as the appropriate citation(s) are included. It may be quoted so long as proper acknowledgment(s) are provided. Write: P.O. Box 439, Front Royal, VA 22630-0009 (USA). Phone: (540) 631-0380.

© 2016 Life Decisions International

importantly, PPFA took in the much lower amount even though it spent \$4.2 million *more* on fundraising than it did the previous year. If this sizable decrease were to become a trend, PPFA would begin to lose funding in every other category as well. Barring some unprecedented successful change in course and/or strategy, Planned Parenthood’s final days would be imminent.

This “nonprofit” pays its president in excess of \$600,000 per year. Yet even with this high salary PPFA cannot seem to spend all of its money. For fiscal years 2010-2011, PPFA reported “excess revenue over expenses” (some would call it “profit”) of \$155.5 million. It was \$87.4 million the following year, \$58.2 million in 2012-2013, \$127.1 million in 2013-2014 and, in the most recent fiscal year, \$58.8 million. One logical question: Does PPFA return unspent funds to the U.S. Treasury? After all, we are

CATEGORY	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Build Advocacy Capacity	NI ²	\$29.8	\$14.8	\$23.7
Engage Communities	NI ²	26.0	24.7	22.8
Fundraising	\$56.1	60.4	63.4	67.6
Increase Access	NI ²	46.7	65.0	78.4
International Birth Control	7.5	NI ²	7.3	1.0
Management & General	136.4	142.3	145.9	164.4
"Medical Services"	744.4	770.5	769.1	782.3
Paid to Associated Groups	0.0	2.4	2.5	2.2
Public Policy	51.3	26.0	33.6	39.3
Refresh Our Brand	NI ²	3.2	1.8	3.8
Renew Leadership	NI ²	1.3	3.6	3.5
Services to Affiliates	43.8	NI ²	NI ²	NI ²
Sex Indoctrination	41.5	43.6	44.6	48.3
U.S. Birth Control	30.7	NI ²	NI ²	NI ²
TOTAL	\$1,111.7	\$1,152.2	\$1,176.3	\$1,237.3

¹ Figures are in millions of dollars. ² This category was not included in the annual report for the corresponding fiscal year.

about some serious figures here. The answer is obvious. *No.* To even suggest PPFA do so would be considered laughable. “As usual, taxpayers are getting ripped off. But few policymakers seem to care,” Scott said. “And this will continue to be the case until taxpayers and all good, reasonable and honest people make them care.”